

The Dimension of Quasi-Homogeneous Planar Linear Systems With Multiplicity Four

James Seibert

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A linear system of plane curves satisfying multiplicity conditions at points in general position is called special if the dimension is larger than the expected dimension. A (-1) curve is an irreducible curve with self intersection -1 and genus zero. The Harbourne-Hirschowitz Conjecture is that a linear system is special only if a multiple of some fixed (-1) curve is contained in every curve of the linear system. This conjecture is proven for linear systems with multiplicity four at all but one of the points.

1 Introduction

Consider $n + 1$ points $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n$ in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the linear system of plane curves of degree d with multiplicity m_i at point p_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$. When all the multiplicities m_i for $i \geq 1$ are equal to some value m the system is called *quasi-homogeneous* and will be written as $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, m)$. The space of curves of degree d has dimension $\frac{d(d+3)}{2}$, and a point of multiplicity k imposes $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ linear conditions. This leads us to define the *virtual dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$ to be

$$v = \frac{d(d+3)}{2} - \frac{m_0(m_0+1)}{2} - n \frac{m(m+1)}{2}.$$

Of course the dimension cannot be less than -1 , so we define the *expected dimension*

$$e = \max\{-1, v\}.$$

There is a dense open subset in the parameter space of $n + 1$ -tuples of points on which the actual dimension of $\mathcal{L}$ achieves a minimum. This we call the *dimension* of $\mathcal{L}$, and we write $\dim \mathcal{L} = \ell$. We know that $\ell \geq e$. When $\ell > e$

the conditions imposed by the points are dependent and the system is called *special*. When $\ell = e$ the system is *non-special*.

One of the methods used to find the dimension of a particular linear system is to reduce the data, when possible, by using standard quadratic transformations of the plane, also known as Cremona transformations. These transformations do not change the actual dimension of a system, and this is useful when the transformed system has known dimension. The words “reduce” and “Cremona reduce” refer to this process throughout this paper.

We define the *intersection number* (when $n' \leq n$),

$$\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, m) \cdot \mathcal{L}(d', m'_0, n', m') = dd' - m_0 m'_0 - n' m m'.$$

The *self-intersection number* is then defined in the natural way,

$$\mathcal{L}^2 = d^2 - m_0^2 - n m^2.$$

Plücker’s formula for the *genus* of curves in $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$g_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - \frac{m_0(m_0-1)}{2} - n \frac{m(m-1)}{2}.$$

A *(-1) curve* is an irreducible curve in a system with self intersection -1 and with genus zero. A multiple (-1) curve is contained in all known examples of special linear systems.

A (-1) curve contained in a quasi-homogeneous linear system $\mathcal{L}$ must either be quasi-homogeneous itself, or be quasi-quasi-homogeneous. By quasi-quasi-homogeneous we refer to a curve C_k with multiplicity m_0 at point p_0 , multiplicity m_1 at one of the points p_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$, and multiplicity m at the rest of the points p_1 through p_n (except p_k). If $\mathcal{L}$ contains one such curve C_k , then it must contain the sum of the curves $\sum_{i=1}^n C_k$. This sum is quasi-homogeneous, and is referred to as a quasi-homogeneous (-1) configuration.

A linear system $\mathcal{L}$ is *(-1) special* if there are (-1) curves $A_1, \dots, A_r$ such that $\mathcal{L} \cdot A_j = -N_j$ with $N_j \geq 1$ for every j and $N_j \geq 2$ for some j , with the residual system $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L} - \sum_j N_j A_j$ having non-negative virtual dimension and non-negative intersection with every (-1) curve A_j . The (-1) curves A_j must be pairwise disjoint.

It is known that every (-1) special system is special. The main conjecture is that every special system is (-1) special. We begin by classifying the (-1) special linear systems with $m = 4$.

2 (-1) Special Systems

Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, 4)$ is a (-1) special linear system. Then $\mathcal{L}$ must be one of two possible forms. The first possibility is that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} + N \cdot C,$$

where $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{M} \cdot C = 0$. In this case N is 2, 3, or 4, and the (-1) curve or configuration $C = \mathcal{L}(\delta, \mu_0, n, 1)$, or N is 2 and $C = \mathcal{L}(\delta, \mu_0, n, 2)$. The second possibility is that

$$\mathcal{L} = 2C_1 + 2C_2.$$

In this case C_1 and C_2 must be disjoint (-1) curves or configurations. The proof of the following Lemma is not difficult.

Lemma 2.1 *The following is a complete list of (-1) curves and configurations with $m \leq 2$.*

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{L}(2, 0, 5, 1) & & & \\ \mathcal{L}(e, e-1, 2e, 1) & e \geq 1 & & \\ \mathcal{L}(e, e, e, 1) & e \geq 1 & \text{compound for } e > 2 & \\ \mathcal{L}(6, 3, 7, 2) & & & \\ \mathcal{L}(3, 0, 3, 2) & & \text{compound} & \end{array}$$

Note that the only disjoint curves on this list are $\mathcal{L}(e, e-1, 2e, 1)$ and $\mathcal{L}(2e, 2e, 2e, 1)$. We may now classify (-1) special curves with $m = 4$.

Theorem 2.1 *The quasi homogeneous (-1) special systems with $m = 4$ are those systems $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, 4)$ on the following list:*

$d - m_0$		
0	$\mathcal{L}(d, d, e, 4)$	$d \geq 4e \geq 4$
1	$\mathcal{L}(d, d-1, e, 4)$	$d \geq \frac{7}{2}e \geq 1$
2	$\mathcal{L}(d, d-2, e, 4)$	$d \geq \frac{9e+1}{3} \geq \frac{10}{3}$
2	$\mathcal{L}(6e, 6e-2, 2e, 4)$	$e \geq 1$
3	$\mathcal{L}(5e, 5e-3, 2e, 4)$	$e \geq 1$
3	$\mathcal{L}(5e+1, 5e-2, 2e, 4)$	$e \geq 1$
4	$\mathcal{L}(4e, 4e-4, 2e, 4)$	$e \geq 1$
4	$\mathcal{L}(4e+1, 4e-3, 2e, 4)$	$e \geq 1$
4	$\mathcal{L}(4e+2, 4e-2, 2e, 4)$	$e \geq 1$
5	$\mathcal{L}(5, 0, 2, 4)$	

5	$\mathcal{L}(6, 1, 2, 4)$
5	$\mathcal{L}(8, 3, 4, 4)$
5	$\mathcal{L}(9, 4, 4, 4)$
5	$\mathcal{L}(12, 7, 6, 4)$
5	$\mathcal{L}(15, 10, 8, 4)$
6	$\mathcal{L}(6, 0, 2, 4)$
6	$\mathcal{L}(6, 0, 3, 4)$
6	$\mathcal{L}(8, 2, 4, 4)$
6	$\mathcal{L}(12, 6, 7, 4)$
7	$\mathcal{L}(9, 2, 5, 4)$
8	$\mathcal{L}(8, 0, 5, 4)$
8	$\mathcal{L}(9, 1, 5, 4)$
9	$\mathcal{L}(9, 0, 5, 4)$

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} + NC$ with $N = 2, 3$, or 4 . We begin with $N = 4$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(2, 0, 5, 1)$ splits off 4 times from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 5, 4)$. Then the residual system $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 8, m_0, 5, 0)$. $\mathcal{M}$ is disjoint from $\mathcal{L}(2, 0, 5, 1)$ only if $d = 8$, and so $v(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ implies that $m_0 = 0$. Thus we have the class $4 \cdot \mathcal{L}(2, 0, 5, 1) = \mathcal{L}(8, 0, 5, 4)$ with virtual dimension -6 , but dimension 0 .

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(e, e - 1, 2e, 1)$ splits off 4 times from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 2e, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 4e, m_0 - 4e + 4, 2e, 0)$. We require that

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 4 = 0$$

so that $m_0 > d - 8$. Then $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ implies that $m_0 \leq d - 4$. There are four possibilities for m_0 .

$m_0 = d - 7$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 4 = 0$ implies that $e = d - 3$. Then $v(\mathcal{M}) = -12d + 39 \geq 0$ implies that $d \leq 3$. This, however, makes $m_0 < 0$.

$m_0 = d - 6$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 4 = 0$ implies that $d = 2e + 2$. But $d \geq 4e$ and $e \geq 1$, so $e = 1$ and $d = 4$. Again this makes $m_0 < 0$.

$m_0 = d - 5$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 4 = 0$ implies that $d = 3e + 1$. But $d \geq 4e$ and $e \geq 1$, so $e = 1$ and $d = 4$. This makes $m_0 = -1$.

$m_0 = d - 4$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 4 = 0$ implies that $d = 4e$. This gives the system $\mathcal{L}(4e, 4e - 4, 2e, 4)$, for all $e \geq 1$.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(e, e, e, 1)$ splits off 4 times from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, e, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 4e, m_0 - 4e, 2e, 0)$. We require that

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - 4e) + e(m_0 - 4e) = 0,$$

so that $d = m_0$. Now $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ whenever $d \geq 4e$. This gives the system $\mathcal{L}(d, d, e, 4)$ whenever $d \geq 4e \geq 4$.

This completes the $N = 4$ analysis.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(2, 0, 5, 1)$ splits off 3 times from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 5, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 6, m_0, 5, 1)$, and $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = 2d - 17$. This can never be zero, so this case cannot occur.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(e, e - 1, 2e, 1)$ splits off 3 times from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 2e, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 3e, m_0 - 3e + 3, 2e, 1)$. We require that

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 3 = 0.$$

This forces $m_0 > d - 8$. Then $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ requires $m_0 \leq d - 3$, and there are five possibilities for m_0 .

$m_0 = d - 7$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - e - 4 = 0$ implies that $m_0 = e - 3$, but $m_0 \geq 3e - 3$. This case cannot occur.

$m_0 = d - 6$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 2e - 3 = 0$ implies that $m_0 = 2e - 3$, but $m_0 \geq 3e - 3$. This case cannot occur.

$m_0 = d - 5$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 3e - 2 = 0$ implies that $d = 3e + 2$ and $m_0 = 3e - 3$. In this case $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(2, 0, 2e, 1)$ and $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ forces e to be 1 or 2. These are the systems $\mathcal{L}(5, 0, 2, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(8, 3, 4, 4)$.

$m_0 = d - 4$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 4e - 1 = 0$, so $d = 4e + 1$ and $m_0 = 4e - 3$. Now $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(e + 1, e, 2e, 1)$ has virtual dimension 2 for all e . Therefore we have the (-1) special system $\mathcal{L}(4e + 1, 4e - 3, 2e, 4)$ for all $e \geq 1$.

$m_0 = d - 3$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 5e = 0$, so $d = 5e$ and $m_0 = 5e - 3$. Now $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(2e, 2e, 2e, 1)$ has virtual dimension 0 for all e . This gives the system $\mathcal{L}(5e, 5e - 3, 2e, 4)$ for all $e \geq 1$.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(e, e, e, 1)$ splits off 3 times from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, e, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 3e, m_0 - 3e, 2e, 1)$. We require that

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 1) = 0,$$

so that $m_0 = d - 1$. Now $v(\mathcal{M}) = 2d - 7e \geq 0$ if $d \geq \frac{7}{2}e$. This gives the systems $\mathcal{L}(d, d - 1, e, 4)$ for every $d \geq \frac{7}{2}e \geq \frac{7}{2}$.

This completes the $N = 3$ analysis.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(2, 0, 5, 1)$ splits off twice from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 5, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 4, m_0, 5, 2)$, and $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = 2d - 18$, so $d = 9$. $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ implies that $0 \leq M - 0 \leq 2$. This gives the systems $\mathcal{L}(9, 0, 5, 4)$, $\mathcal{L}(9, 1, 5, 4)$, and $\mathcal{L}(9, 2, 5, 4)$.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(e, e - 1, 2e, 1)$ splits off twice from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 2e, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 2e, m_0 - 2e + 2, 2e, 2)$ and $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 2$.

This forces $m_0 > d - 8$. We also have $m_0 \leq d - 2$ so that $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$. There are six possibilities for m_0 .

$m_0 = d - 7$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - e - 5 = 0$, so $d = e + 5$ and $m_0 = e - 2$. But $m_0 \geq 2e - 2$, so this case cannot occur.

$m_0 = d - 6$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 2e - 4 = 0$, so $d = 2e + 4$ and $m_0 = 2e - 2$. Now $v(\mathcal{M}) = 14 - 6e \geq 0$ implies that e is 1 or 2. This leads to the systems $\mathcal{L}(6, 0, 2, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(8, 2, 4, 4)$.

$m_0 = d - 5$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 3e - 3 = 0$, so $d = 3e + 3$ and $m_0 = 3e - 2$. Then $v(\mathcal{M}) = 9 - 2e \geq 0$ implies that e is 1, 2, 3, or 4. These systems are $\mathcal{L}(6, 1, 2, 4)$, $\mathcal{L}(9, 4, 4, 4)$, $\mathcal{L}(12, 7, 6, 4)$, and $\mathcal{L}(15, 10, 8, 4)$.

$m_0 = d - 4$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 4e - 2 = 0$, so $d = 4e + 2$ and $m_0 = 4e - 2$. In this case $v(\mathcal{M}) = 5$ for all e , giving the systems $\mathcal{L}(4e + 2, 4e - 2, 2e, 4)$ for all $e \geq 1$.

$m_0 = d - 3$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 5e - 1 = 0$, so $d = 5e + 1$ and $m_0 = 5e - 2$. This time $v(\mathcal{M}) = 2$ for all e , giving the systems $\mathcal{L}(5e + 1, 5e - 2, 2e, 4)$ for all $e \geq 1$.

$m_0 = d - 2$: $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = d - 6e = 0$, so $d = 6e$ and $m_0 = 6e - 2$, but $v(\mathcal{M}) = -2$ for all e . This means that $\mathcal{L}(e, e - 1, 2e, 1)$ cannot split off by itself in this case. We will see later that this case does occur when $\mathcal{L}(e, e - 1, 2e, 1)$ and $\mathcal{L}(2e, 2e, 2e, 1)$ both split.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(e, e, e, 1)$ splits off twice from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, e, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 2e, m_0 - 2e, e, 2)$ and $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = e(d - m_0 - 2)$. This forces $m_0 = d - 2$. Now $v(\mathcal{M}) = 3d - 9e - 1 \geq 0$ occurs whenever $d \geq \frac{9e+1}{3}$. This gives a (-1) special system $\mathcal{L}(d, d - 2, e, 4)$ whenever $d \geq \frac{9e+1}{3} \geq \frac{10}{3}$.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(6, 3, 7, 2)$ splits off twice from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 7, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = 6d - 3m_0 - 54$ and $m_0 = 2d - 18$. Now $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ only if $d \leq 12$, but $d \geq 12$ since A splits off twice. Therefore $d = 12$ and the system is $\mathcal{L}(12, 6, 7, 4) = 2A$.

Suppose that $A = \mathcal{L}(3, 0, 3, 2)$ splits off twice from $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, 3, 4)$. Then $\mathcal{M} \cdot A = 3(d - 6) = 0$ implies that $d = 6$, and $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ implies that $m_0 = 0$, giving the system $\mathcal{L}(6, 0, 3, 4) = 2A$.

This completes the analysis of the case where one curve splits off twice. The final possibility is that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M} + 2N_1 + 2N_2$, where N_1 and N_2 are disjoint (-1) curves or configurations. As mentioned previously, $\mathcal{L}(e, e - 1, 2e, 1)$ and $\mathcal{L}(2e, 2e, 2e, 1)$ are the only possibilities for N_1 and N_2 . In this situation, the residual system is $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}(d - 6e, m_0 - 6e + 2, 2e, 0)$. N_1 and N_2 intersect $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{L}(2e, 2e, 2e, 1) = 2e(d - m_0) - 4e = 0.$$

$$\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{L}(e, e - 1, 2e, 1) = e(d - m_0 - 8) + m_0 + 2 = 0.$$

From the first equation we get that $m_0 = d - 2$. From the second we then have $d = 6e$. Now $v(\mathcal{M}) \geq 0$ implies that $m_0 = 6e - 2$. This leads to the (-1) special systems $2N_1 + 2N_2 = \mathcal{L}(6e, 6e - 2, 2e, 4)$ for every $e \geq 1$. ■

3 Large m_0

The cases with $m_0 \geq d - 5$ are dealt with by the following lemmas from [CM1] (modified to the case $m = 4$).

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, d - 4, n, 4)$, with $d \geq 4$. Write $d = 4q + \mu$ with $0 \leq \mu \leq 3$, and $n = 2h + \epsilon$, with $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Then the system $\mathcal{L}$ is special if and only if $q = h$, $\epsilon = 0$ and $\mu \leq 2$.*

In particular, $\mathcal{L}(d, d - 4, n, 4)$ is special if and only if it is one of the following types:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mu = 0 & \mathcal{L}(4q, 4q - 4, 2q, 4) \\ \mu = 1 & \mathcal{L}(4q + 1, 4q - 3, 2q, 4) \\ \mu = 1 & \mathcal{L}(4q + 2, 4q - 2, 2q, 4) \end{array}$$

These agree with the list in theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, d - 4 + k, n, 4)$ with $k \geq 1$, and let*

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(d - kn, d - kn - 4 + k, n, 4 - k).$$

Then $\dim \mathcal{L} = \dim \mathcal{L}'$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is non-special unless either

- (a) $k \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ is nonempty and non-special, or*
- (b) $\mathcal{L}'$ is special.*

Again, we compare these results with the list in Theorem 2.1. If $k = 1$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, d - 3, n, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(d - n, d - n - 3, n, 3)$, a system with $m = 3$. $\mathcal{L}$ is special if and only if $\mathcal{L}'$ is special. The special quasi homogeneous systems with $m \leq 3$ are classified in [CM1]. $\mathcal{L}'$ is special if and only if it is of the form $\mathcal{L}(3e, 3e - 3, 2e, 3)$, or $\mathcal{L}(3e + 1, 3e - 2, 2e, 3)$. These lead to systems $\mathcal{L}(5e, 5e - 3, 2e, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(5e + 1, 5e - 2, 2e, 4)$. These appear on the list in Theorem 2.1, and they are the only classes on the list with $d - m_0 = 3$.

If $k = 2$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, d - 2, n, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(d - 2n, d - 2n - 2, n, 2)$. $\mathcal{L}'$ is nonempty and non-special if $d \geq \frac{9n+1}{3}$. $\mathcal{L}'$ is special if and only if it has

the form $\mathcal{L}(2e, 2e - 2, 2e, 2)$. This gives $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(6e, 6e - 2, 2e, 4)$. These agree with the list in Theorem 2.1.

If $k = 3$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, d - 1, n, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(d - 3n, d - 3n - 1, n, 1)$. $\mathcal{L}'$ is non-special since $m = 1$ and nonempty if $d \geq \frac{7n}{2}$. If $k = 4$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, d, n, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(d - 4n, d - 4n, n, 0)$. $\mathcal{L}'$ is non-special since $m = 0$ and nonempty if $d \geq 4n$. These conditions match those on the list in Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(d, d - 5, n, 4)$ with $d \geq 5$. Write $d = 3q + \mu$ with $0 \leq \mu \leq 2$, and $n = 2h + \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Then the system $\mathcal{L}$ is non-special unless*

- (a) $q = h + 1$, $\mu = \epsilon = 0$ and $h \leq 4$, or
- (b) $q = h$, $\epsilon = 0$, and $4q \leq \mu(\mu + 3)$.

Case (a) gives the systems $\mathcal{L}(3h + 3, 3h - 2, 2h, 4)$ where $1 \leq h \leq 4$. These appear on the list in Theorem 2.1. In case (b), $\mu = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ both force $d \leq 5$, so we are left with $\mu = 2$ and $q = 1$ or 2 . These are the systems $\mathcal{L}(5, 0, 2, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(8, 3, 4, 4)$. These account for all the systems with $d - m_0 = 5$ on the list in Theorem 2.1.

The main conjecture holds for quasi homogeneous systems with $m = 4$ and $m_0 \geq d - 5$.

4 The Degeneration

To finish the classification of special systems we use the degeneration of the plane described in detail by Ciliberto and Miranda [CM1]. The general plan relies on the fact that if the points are in special position, the dimension of the system can only increase. We attempt to find a special position for the points that allows us to calculate the dimension, but such that the dimension is not greater than the expected dimension. We are able to do so because the degeneration affords us a great deal of flexibility.

Briefly, we consider $V = \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and X , the blow-up of this three-fold along a line L in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \{0\}$. We have the projections $p_1 : V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ and $p_2 : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, the blowup map $f : X \rightarrow V$, and the compositions $\pi_1 = p_1 \circ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ and $\pi_2 = p_2 \circ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Let X_t be the fiber of π_1 over t in $\mathbb{A}^1$. Then $X_t \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ if $t \neq 0$. In X_0 , the degeneration produces two surfaces, a plane $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^2$ and a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_1$, joined transversely along a curve R . R is the line L in $\mathbb{P}$ and the exceptional divisor E of $\mathbb{F}$.

The Picard group of X_0 is the fibered product of $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P})$ and $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{F})$. That is, a line bundle $\mathcal{X}$ on X_0 is equivalent to a line bundle $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{P}}$ on $\mathbb{P}$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}}$ on $\mathbb{F}$ which agree when restricted to R . This means we must

have that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{P}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d)$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(cH - dE)$ for some c and d . Denote this bundle on X_0 by $\mathcal{X}(c, c - d)$.

We also have $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{F}$ as divisors on X , and the corresponding bundles $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbb{P})$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbb{F})$. The bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbb{P})$ is disjoint from the fibers X_t for $t \neq 0$, but restricts to $\mathbb{P}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)$ and restricts to $\mathbb{F}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(E)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$ the line bundle $\pi_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d))$. For $t \neq 0$ the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$ to X_t is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$. The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$ to X_0 is the bundle $\mathcal{X}(d, 0)$. The bundle $\mathcal{X}(d, 0)$ restricts to $\mathbb{P}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d)$ and to $\mathbb{F}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(dH - dE)$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_X(d, k)$ be the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(k\mathbb{P})$. When $\mathcal{O}_X(d, k)$ is restricted to X_t for $t \neq 0$ the result is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$, as before, but the restriction to X_0 has changed. The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_X(d, k)$ to X_0 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}(d, k)$, which restricts to $\mathbb{P}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d - k)$ and restricts to $\mathbb{F}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(dH - (d - k)E)$.

In this way, all of the bundles $\mathcal{X}(d, k)$ on X_0 are seen as flat limits of the bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d)$ on the general fiber X_t of this degeneration. This is part of the flexibility this degeneration provides. The rest of the flexibility lies in the position of the points.

Take integers n and b such that $0 \leq b \leq n$, consider $n - b + 1$ general points $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-b}$ in $\mathbb{P}$ and b general points $p_{n-b+1}, \dots, p_n$ in $\mathbb{F}$. These can be realized as the limits of $n + 1$ general points $p_{0,t}, p_{1,t}, \dots, p_{n,t}$ in X_t . Then we have the linear systems $\mathcal{L}_t(d, m_0, n, m) = \mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, m)$ in $X_t \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ for $t \neq 0$.

On X_0 we have the system formed by sections of $\mathcal{X}(d, k)$ having a point of multiplicity m_0 at p_0 and multiplicity m at points $p_1, \dots, p_n$. This system will be called $\mathcal{L}_0 := \mathcal{L}_0(d, k, m_0, n, b, m)$. Any one of these systems may be considered as the flat limit on X_0 of the system $\mathcal{L}_t = \mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, m)$. We will say that $\mathcal{L}_0$ is obtained from $\mathcal{L}$ by a (k, b) *degeneration*.

The linear system $\mathcal{L}_0$ restricts to $\mathbb{P}$ as the system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{L}(d - k, m_0, n - b, m)$ and restricts to $\mathbb{F}$ as the system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}} := \mathcal{L}(d, d - k, b, m)$. Divisors in the linear system $\mathcal{L}_0$ come in three types. The first type consists of a divisor $C_{\mathbb{F}}$ on $\mathbb{F}$ in the system $|dH - (d - k)E|$ and a divisor $C_{\mathbb{P}}$ on $\mathbb{P}$ in the system $|(d - k)H|$, both of which satisfy the multiple point conditions, and which restrict to the same divisor on the curve R .

The second type is a divisor corresponding to a section of the bundle which is identically zero on $\mathbb{P}$, and gives a divisor in the system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ which contains the exceptional curve E as a component. A divisor in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ which contains E is an element of the system $E + \mathcal{L}(d, d - k + 1, b, m)$. Since we are interested only in the dimension of this kernel system, we will denote it by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and (abusing notation) write $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathcal{L}(d, d - k + 1, b, m)$.

The third type is similar. It corresponds to a section of the bundle which is identically zero on $\mathbb{F}$, and gives a divisor in the system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ which contains the line L as a component. That is, it comes from an element of the system $L + \mathcal{L}(d - k - 1, m_0, n - b, m)$. We will denote this kernel system by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and abuse notation further to write $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{L}(d - k - 1, m_0, b, m)$.

The four main linear systems are collected in the following table. They are all quasi homogeneous, and in our case have $m = 4$. They also have smaller data than the original system, and give us the chance to argue by induction.

$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}} :$	d	$d - k + 1$	b	4
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}} :$	d	$d - k$	b	4
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}} :$	$d - k$	m_0	$n - b$	4
$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} :$	$d - k - 1$	m_0	$n - b$	4

The following notation will be used.

v	the virtual dimension of the general system,
$v_{\mathbb{P}}$	the virtual dimension of the system on $\mathbb{P}$,
$v_{\mathbb{F}}$	the virtual dimension of the system on $\mathbb{F}$,
$\hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}}$	the virtual dimension of the kernel system on $\mathbb{P}$,
$\hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}}$	the virtual dimension of the kernel system on $\mathbb{F}$,
ℓ	the dimension of the general system,
$\ell_{\mathbb{P}}$	the dimension of the system on $\mathbb{P}$,
$\ell_{\mathbb{F}}$	the dimension of the system on $\mathbb{F}$,
$\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}}$	the dimension of the kernel system on $\mathbb{P}$,
$\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}}$	the dimension of the kernel system on $\mathbb{F}$, and
ℓ_0	the dimension of the system $\mathcal{L}_0$.

We have that $\ell \leq \ell_0$ by semi-continuity, and we will attempt to exploit the inequality $v \leq \ell \leq \ell_0$ to show that the linear system $\mathcal{L}$ has the expected dimension.

Note the following relationships between the virtual dimensions of the main linear systems.

Lemma 4.1 *The following are identities in variables d, m_0, n, k , and b .*

- a. $v_{\mathbb{P}} + v_{\mathbb{F}} = v + d - k$.
- b. $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}} + v_{\mathbb{F}} = v - 1$.
- c. $v_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}} = v - 1$.

5 Classification of Special Systems with $m = 4$

One of the main results of [CM1] is the following computation of the dimension of $\mathcal{L}_0$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $r_{\mathbb{P}} = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} - \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} - 1$ and $r_{\mathbb{F}} = \ell_{\mathbb{F}} - \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} - 1$. Then*
(a) If $r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} \leq d - k - 1$, then $\ell_0 = \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} + 1$. (b) If $r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} \geq d - k - 1$, then $\ell_0 = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} - d + k$.

The dimension computed in part (b) of the Theorem is the virtual dimension of the system $\mathcal{L}$ by Lemma 4.1, and will be used to show that a non-empty system has the expected dimension. Part (a) of the Theorem is more useful for showing that a system is empty. The next two lemmas make this explicit.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a quasi homogeneous linear system with negative virtual dimension, $v \leq -1$. If integers k and b can be found such that when a (k, b) degeneration is executed*

- (a) The systems $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ are both non-special, and*
- (b) the kernel systems $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ are empty,*

then $\mathcal{L}$ is empty.

Proof: If either $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ or $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is empty then $\mathcal{L}$ is empty as well since the kernel systems are empty. If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ are not empty, then

$$r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} = v_{\mathbb{P}} + v_{\mathbb{F}} = v + d - k \leq d - k - 1.$$

The first equality follows from (b). The second is true because the systems are non-special and not empty. The third equality is Lemma 4.1 a. The final inequality holds by assumption $v \leq -1$. Therefore Theorem 5.1 (a) applies and $\ell_0 = \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} + 1 = -1$. Now $\mathcal{L}$ must be empty since $-1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_0 = -1$. ■

Lemma 5.2 *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a quasi homogeneous linear system with virtual dimension $v \geq -1$. If integers $k < d$ and b can be found such that when a (k, b) degeneration is executed*

- (a) The systems $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$, and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ are all non-special, and*
- (b) the systems $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ have virtual dimension at least -1,*

then $\mathcal{L}$ has the expected dimension.

Proof: The proof relies on the identities from Lemma 4.1. We claim that with the given hypotheses, $\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} \leq v - 1$. There are three possibilities. If both $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are empty, then $\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} = -2 \leq v - 1$ since $v \geq -1$. If both systems are non-empty and non special, then $\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} = \hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} = \hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then using the three identities we get

$$\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} = \hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}} = v - 1 - d + k \leq v - 1.$$

The inequality holds since $k < d$. If one of the systems is empty and the other is not, identities b) and c) give

$$\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} = -1 + \hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}} = v - 1 - 1 - v_{\mathbb{P}} \leq v - 1,$$

or

$$\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} = \hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}} - 1 = v - 1 - 1 - v_{\mathbb{F}} \leq v - 1.$$

The inequalities follow from hypothesis (b). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} &= \ell_{\mathbb{P}} - \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} - 1 + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} - \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} - 1 \\ &= v_{\mathbb{P}} + v_{\mathbb{F}} - \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} - \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}} - 2 && \text{by hypothesis} \\ &= v + d - k - (\hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{P}} + \hat{\ell}_{\mathbb{F}}) - 2 && \text{by Lemma 4.1 a)} \\ &\geq v + d - k - (v - 1) - 2 && \text{by the claim} \\ &= d - k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 5.1 (b) to get $\ell_0 = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} - d + k = v_{\mathbb{P}} + v_{\mathbb{F}} - d + k = v$, by Lemma 4.1 a. Finally, we have $v \leq \ell \leq \ell_0 = v$. Therefore $\ell = v$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is non special. $\blacksquare$

These are the basic tools in the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 5.2 *A system $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, 4)$ is special if and only if it is a (-1) special system, i.e., it is one of the systems listed in Theorem 2.1.*

Proof: We may assume that $m_0 \leq d - 6$ and that $d \geq 6$. The proof is by induction on d . Assume the theorem is true for smaller values of d . Then assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is not (-1) special, and prove that it is non-special.

Begin with the case $v \leq -1$. We perform a (k, b) degeneration with $k = 3$. This gives the following relevant systems.

$$\begin{array}{llll} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}} : & d & d - 2 & b & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}} : & d & d - 3 & b & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}} : & d - 3 & m_0 & n - b & 4 \\ \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} : & d - 4 & m_0 & n - b & 4 \end{array}$$

We wish to find a b so that both $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are empty, and all four systems are non-special. We pick $b > \frac{d}{3}$ so that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is not (-1) special, and thus non-special by section 3. Then $b > \frac{3d-1}{10}$, which makes $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}} \leq -1$. Therefore $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is empty. If, in addition, $b \leq \frac{4d-2}{10}$, then $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}} \leq -1$ since $v - \hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}} = 4d - 2 + 10b$ and $v \leq -1$. Now if $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special (and non-special by induction), it will be empty. If $m_0 < d - 6$ this can be ensured by choosing b so that $n - b$ is odd. This is also enough to conclude that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special (and non-special by induction). In order for $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ to be not (-1) special (and thus non-special by section 3), we choose $b < \frac{4d-4}{10}$.

An integer b , satisfying the inequalities

$$\frac{3d-1}{10} < \frac{d}{3} < b < \frac{4d-4}{10} < \frac{4d-2}{10},$$

and such that $n - b$ is odd, may be found when d is 29, 32, 34, 35, or $d \geq 37$. This choice of b makes all of the systems involved non-special and the kernel systems empty. We apply Lemma 5.1. This proves that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty, provided $d \geq 37$, $m_0 < d - 6$ and the conjecture holds for lower d .

If $m_0 = d - 6$, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ might be (-1) special even when $n - b$ is odd, but only if $n - b \leq \frac{3(d-4)-1}{9}$. If $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special, Lemma 5.1 can be used to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty. We would like

$$n > \frac{3(d-4)-1}{9} + b.$$

For a given d and m_0 , we only need to prove the theorem for the smallest n which makes v negative. For $m_0 = d - 6$, this value is the smallest integer n such that $n > \frac{7d-15}{10}$. It is clear that we need to choose b as small as possible. We will pick $\frac{d}{3} < b \leq \frac{d}{3} + 2$ such that $n - b$ is odd. Then $\frac{3(d-4)-1}{9} + b \leq \frac{6d+5}{9}$. Now

$$n > \frac{7d-15}{10} > \frac{6d+5}{9}$$

whenever $d \geq 62$. This guarantees that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special when $m_0 = d - 6$ and $d \geq 62$. For values of d between 62 and 39, one may directly check that this choice of b makes $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ not (-1) special for the smallest value of n . The same is true for $d = 38, 37, 35$, and 34. We are assuming the theorem for smaller values of d , so Lemma 5.1 applies and these systems are empty. The only outstanding case with $d \geq 37$ is $d = 39$ and $m_0 = 33$.

For $d = 39$ and $m_0 = 33$, the smallest n which makes v negative is $n = 26$. We choose $k = 3$ and $b = 13$. This makes $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ non-special and empty by section 3 and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ non-special and empty by induction. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is not (-1)

special since $b < \frac{4d-4}{10}$ and non-special by section 3. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special since $n - b$ is odd for $n = 26$ and non-special by induction. Now all the systems are non-special and the kernel systems are empty so we use Lemma 5.1 to conclude that this system is empty. We have proven the theorem for all $d \geq 37$ provided it is true for smaller values of d . The theorem will be proved case by case for smaller values of d .

If $d = 36$, we perform a $(3, 13)$ degeneration giving the systems

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}} : & 36 & 34 & 13 & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}} : & 36 & 33 & 13 & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}} : & 33 & m_0 & n - 13 & 4 \\ \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} : & 32 & m_0 & n - 13 & 4 \end{array}$$

We are assuming that $m_0 \leq 30$. If all these systems are non-special and the kernel systems are empty then we use Lemma 5.1 to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty. The kernel systems have negative virtual dimension, so are empty if they are non-special. The systems $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are not special by section 3. The system $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is (-1) special only if it has the form $\mathcal{L}(32, 28, 16, 4)$ or $\mathcal{L}(32, 30, x, 4)$ with $x \leq 10$. The first comes from the system $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(36, 28, 29, 4)$ which has virtual dimension $v = 6$, contrary to hypothesis. The second type comes from a system $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(36, 30, x + 13, 4)$ with virtual dimension $v = 107 - 10x$. Again, v is positive (since $x \leq 10$), contrary to hypothesis. The system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is (-1) special only if it has the form $\mathcal{L}(33, 29, 16, 4)$. This comes from the system $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(36, 29, 29, 4)$ with virtual dimension $v = -23$. The system $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{L}(33, 29, 16, 4)$ is special, but Cremona reduces to the class of a line, and so has dimension 2. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is non-special with virtual dimension 11. So in this case, $r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} = 2 + 11 < d - k - 1 = 32$ and we may appeal directly to Theorem 5.1 (a) to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty.

The next open case is $d = 33$. (The cases $d = 34$ and $d = 35$ were mentioned earlier.) We perform a $(3, 11)$ degeneration giving the systems

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}} : & 33 & 31 & 11 & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}} : & 33 & 30 & 11 & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}} : & 30 & m_0 & n - 11 & 4 \\ \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} : & 29 & m_0 & n - 11 & 4 \end{array}$$

The kernel systems have negative virtual dimension, hence they are empty if they are non-special. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ are non-special by section 3. If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ are non-special we apply Lemma 5.1 to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is only (-1) special if it is of the form $\mathcal{L}(29, 25, 14, 4)$ or $\mathcal{L}(29, 27, x, 4)$ where $x \leq 9$. Both of these come from systems with positive virtual dimension. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$

is (-1) special only if it is $\mathcal{L}(30, 27, 12, 4)$ or $\mathcal{L}(30, 26, 14, 4)$. In these cases we appeal directly to Theorem 5.1 (a).

In both cases $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is non-special of dimension 19. $\mathcal{L}(30, 27, 12, 4)$ Cremona reduces to the zero dimensional space of constant polynomials. Now $r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} = 0 + 19 < d - k - 1 = 29$, so we use Theorem 5.1 (a) to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty. In the other case, we notice that $\mathcal{L}(30, 26, 14, 4)$ Cremona reduces to the 5 dimensional space of quadratics. This means that $r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} = 5 + 19 < d - k - 1 = 29$, and Theorem 5.1 (a) tells us that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty.

When $d = 32$ we pick b as before ($\frac{d}{3} < b < \frac{4d-4}{10}$ and $n - b$ odd). This allows us to apply Lemma 5.1 unless $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(32, 26, n, 4)$. It is enough to prove $\mathcal{L}(32, 26, 21, 4)$ is empty. We perform a (3, 11) degeneration in this case and apply Lemma 5.1.

For $d = 31$, a (3, 11) degeneration produces systems which are not (-1) special and kernel systems which are empty, unless $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(31, 24, 25, 4)$ or $\mathcal{L}(31, 25, x + 11, 4)$ with $x \leq 8$. The later does not have negative virtual dimension. The former degenerates as

$$\begin{array}{llll} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}} : & 31 & 29 & 11 & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}} : & 31 & 28 & 11 & 4 \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}} : & 28 & 24 & 14 & 4 \\ \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} : & 27 & 24 & 14 & 4 \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is non-special of dimension $\ell_{\mathbb{F}} = 11$. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ Cremona reduces to the zero dimensional class of a quadruple line. In this case, $r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} = \ell_{\mathbb{P}} + \ell_{\mathbb{F}} = 11 + 0 < d - k - 1 = 27$, and Theorem 5.1 (a) tells us that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty.

If $d = 30$, we perform a (3, 11) degeneration. This produces systems which are not (-1) special and kernel systems which are empty unless $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{L}(26, 22, 12, 4)$, $\mathcal{L}(26, 23, 10, 4)$, or $\mathcal{L}(26, 24, x, 4)$, where $x \leq 8$. These exceptions all come from systems with positive virtual dimension, therefore Lemma 5.1 handles all cases with $d = 30$.

When $d = 29$ we pick b as before ($\frac{d}{3} < b < \frac{4d-4}{10}$ and $n - b$ odd). This satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.1 unless $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(29, 23, n, 4)$. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{L}(29, 23, 19, 4)$ is empty. A (3, 10) degeneration allows us to use Lemma 5.1 in this instance as well.

For $d = 28$, a (3, 10) degeneration satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.1 in all but five cases, only two of which have negative virtual dimension. In these cases we use Cremona reduction to find the dimension of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and apply Theorem 5.1 (a). The $d = 27$ case has one exception when a (3, 9) degeneration is used, and it is handled in the same way. A (3, 9) degeneration also suffices to prove the theorem in case $d = 26$ and 25.

When $d = 24$, a $(3, 9)$ degeneration works unless $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathcal{L}(24, 18, 17, 4)$, $\mathcal{L}(24, 17, 19, 4)$, or $\mathcal{L}(24, 16, 19, 4)$. In the first two of these exceptions $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is special and we proceed as above using Cremona reduction to find the dimension. In the final case, it is $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ which is special and we must find another approach. A $(4, 10)$ degeneration allows us to use Lemma 5.1 to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ is empty in this case as well.

If $d = 23$, we use a $(3, 8)$ degeneration. This lets us apply Lemma 5.1 in all but two cases. In these cases, we use Cremona reduction and Theorem 5.1 (a). For $d = 22$, a $(3, 8)$ degeneration allows us to apply Lemma 5.1 unless $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(22, 16, 14, 4)$. This system Cremona reduces to the system $\mathcal{L}(8, 0, 15, 2)$. The theorem is true for $m = 2$ ([CM1]), and this system is not (-1) special, so this system is empty. Therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is empty, as well.

When $18 \leq d \leq 21$, we use a $(3, 7)$ degeneration. We may appeal to Lemma 5.1 in all but a finite number of cases. In all but one of these cases we use Cremona reduction to determine the dimension of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and apply Theorem 5.1 (a). In case $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(19, 12, 15, 4)$, we use a $(3, 8)$ degeneration and Lemma 5.1. If $d = 17$, a $(3, 6)$ degeneration succeeds. If $d = 16$ there are eleven possibilities for m_0 . In each case, it is enough to prove the theorem for the smallest n which makes v negative and the system not (-1) special. We have the following systems

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{L}(16, & 10, & 10, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 9, & 11, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 8, & 12, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 7, & 13, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 6, & 14, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 5, & 14, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 4, & 15, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 3, & 15, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 2, & 15, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 1, & 16, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(16, & 0, & 16, & 4) \end{array}$$

The first system Cremona reduces to a homogeneous system with $m = 2$, which is empty [CM1]. A $(3, 6)$ degeneration is used for all but the third and ninth systems. For these degenerations, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is special but we use Cremona transformations to find its dimension and apply Theorem 5.1 (a). The remaining systems yield to a $(4, 7)$ degeneration and Lemma 5.1.

For $d = 15$ or 14 , a $(3, 5)$ degeneration works. A $(3, 5)$ degeneration also works when $d = 13$ in all but one case. The case $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(13, 5, 9, 4)$ did not yield to any of the approaches used so far. To see that this system is

empty, Maple was used to analyze the 105×105 matrix constructed using the points $p_0 = (0, -3)$, $p_1 = (8, 3)$, $p_2 = (4, -4)$, $p_3 = (-5, -5)$, $p_4 = (-5, -2)$, $p_5 = (3, -1)$, $p_6 = (-5, -9)$, $p_7 = (8, 5)$, $p_8 = (5, 8)$, and $p_9 = (-1, 4)$. The matrix was found to have full rank, therefore there are no thirteenth degree polynomials passing through these points with multiplicities. This implies that $\mathcal{L}(13, 5, 9, 4)$ is empty for points in general position.

In the $d = 12$ case, we again list the systems corresponding to possible values of m_0 and the critical n for each. We have the following seven systems.

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{L}(12, & 6, & 8, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(12, & 5, & 8, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(12, & 4, & 9, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(12, & 3, & 9, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(12, & 2, & 9, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(12, & 1, & 9, & 4) \\ \mathcal{L}(12, & 0, & 10, & 4) \end{array}$$

It is sufficient to show that the second, sixth, and seventh systems are empty, as these imply the rest are empty. The second system Cremona reduces to $\mathcal{L}(8, 1, 8, 3)$, which is non-special and empty by [CM1]. To show the sixth is empty, we employ a $(4, 5)$ degeneration and Lemma 5.1. Finally, we use a $(4, 5)$ degeneration with the seventh system. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ Cremona reduces to a quadruple line, and so has dimension 0. We apply Theorem 5.1 (a).

The $d = 11$ case proceeds similarly. There are six possibilities $0 \leq m_0 \leq 5$, and we only need to check the smallest n in each. We only need to show that $\mathcal{L}(11, 4, 7, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(11, 0, 8, 4)$ are empty since these imply the other four are empty. In both of these cases we use a $(3, 4)$ degeneration. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is special, but Cremona reduces to the two dimensional class of a line. In both cases $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special with virtual dimension 4. We apply Theorem 5.1 (a).

In the $d = 10$ case, for similar reasons, we only need to show that $\mathcal{L}(10, 3, 6, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(10, 0, 7, 4)$ are empty. The first Cremona reduces to the empty system of constant polynomials with a double point. The second reduces to the empty system of quadratics with a triple point. When $d = 9$, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}(9, 3, 5, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(9, 0, 6, 4)$ are empty. The former reduces to the empty system of lines through three general points. The latter reduces to the empty system of non-zero constant polynomials passing through three points. For $d = 8$, we need to show that $\mathcal{L}(8, 1, 5, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(8, 0, 6, 4)$ are empty. The first reduces to the empty system of non-zero constant polynomials passing through a point. The second we may conclude is empty by applying a $(3, 3)$ degeneration and Lemma 5.1. Finally, we must

show that $\mathcal{L}(7, 0, 4, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}(6, 0, 4, 4)$ are empty. $\mathcal{L}(7, 0, 4, 4)$ reduces to the empty system of quadratics with a quadruple point. $\mathcal{L}(6, 0, 4, 4)$ reduces to the empty system of constant polynomials with a quadruple point.

Now we consider the $v \geq -1$ case. Again, we assume that $m_0 \leq d - 6$ and that $d \geq 6$. We assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is not (-1) special with $v \geq -1$ and prove that it is non special. For each d and m_0 it is enough to prove the theorem for the largest n which makes the virtual dimension $v \geq 0$. If $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, 4)$ is non empty and non special, then $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n', 4)$ will be non-empty and non-special for $n' < n$. This is because the conditions imposed on curves of degree d in $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n', 4)$ are a subset of the independent conditions for the system $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, 4)$. For $m_0 = d - 6$, $v \geq 0$ when $n \leq \frac{7d-15}{10}$. For lower m_0 , the largest value of n which makes $v \geq 0$ must be at least as big as in the $m_0 = d - 6$ case. Therefore, we will assume that $n > \frac{7d-15}{10} - 1$.

We use a $(3, b)$ degeneration where b is chosen to satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.2. $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is not (-1) special when $b > \frac{d}{3}$. $v_{\mathbb{F}}$ is not (-1) special if $b < \frac{2d-2}{5}$. $v_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special when $n - b$ is odd. $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is not (-1) special if $n - b$ is odd and $m_0 \neq d - 6$. Now $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $v_{\mathbb{F}}$ are non-special and $v_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}}$ are non special by the inductive hypothesis. We need $b \leq \frac{2d-1}{5}$ to make $v_{\mathbb{F}} \geq -1$. To force $v_{\mathbb{P}} \geq -1$, the second identity in the proof of Lemma 5.2 gives us that it is enough to have that $v \geq -1$ and $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{F}} \leq -1$. The former is true by hypothesis and the later is true when $b > \frac{3d}{10}$. All of this may be achieved (when $m_0 < d - 6$) by choosing

$$\frac{d}{3} < b < \frac{2d-2}{5}$$

so that $n - b$ is odd. As before, we can do this for $d = 29, 32, 34, 35$, or whenever $d \geq 37$. Recall that $n > \frac{7d-25}{10}$, so that this choice makes $b < n$ for all $d \geq 8$.

When $m_0 = d - 6$, $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}}$ will be (-1) special if $n - b \leq \frac{3(d-4)-1}{9}$. For $m_0 = d - 6$ the largest n making $v \geq 0$ is the integer $\frac{7d-25}{10} < n \leq \frac{7d-15}{10}$. We need $b < n - \frac{3(d-4)-1}{9}$. Putting these last two inequalities together, we see that we should choose $b < \frac{33d-95}{90}$. This guarantees that $\hat{v}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is non-special even when $m_0 = d - 6$.

If we select $\frac{d}{3} < b < \frac{33d-95}{90}$ such that $n - b$ is odd, then we may appeal to Lemma 5.2 to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ is non-special. This may be done for $d \geq 91$. For $37 \leq d \leq 90$, the hypotheses of Lemma 5.2 are satisfied (for $m_0 = d - 6$ and the largest n which makes $v \geq -1$) if we choose $\frac{d}{3} \leq b \leq \frac{d}{3} + 1$, for all but $d = 46$ and $d = 40$. For the system $\mathcal{L}(46, 40, 30, 4)$, we perform a $(3, 16)$ degeneration. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is empty, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is non-special of dimension 21, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is non-special (by induction) of dimension 29, and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is expected to be empty, but is

(-1) special. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{L}(42, 40, 14, 4)$ Cremona reduces to the zero dimensional space of constants. We compute $r_{\mathbb{P}} + r_{\mathbb{F}} = (29 - 0 - 1) + (21 - (-1) - 1) = 49 > d - k - 1 = 46 - 3 - 1$. Therefore, $\ell_0 = 21 + 29 - 46 + 3 = 7$ by Theorem 5.1 (b), and this is also the virtual dimension. The $d = 40$ case follows in the same fashion. Use a $(3, 14)$ degeneration. $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is special, but Cremona reduces to the zero dimensional space of constants. The hypothesis of Theorem 5.1 (b) are satisfied and $v = \ell_0$ since $v_{\mathbb{P}} = \ell_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $v_{\mathbb{F}} = \ell_{\mathbb{F}}$.

The theorem is proved for $d \geq 37$, provided it is true for smaller values of d . We now prove the theorem for $d < 37$ case by case. In each case we choose b so that $v_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $v_{\mathbb{F}}$ are at least -1, then check that all the systems are not (-1) special. If they are all not (-1) special we may apply Lemma 5.2. When $d = 36$ we use a $(3, 13)$ degeneration. We analyzed this degeneration already in the $v \leq -1$ case. If the four main systems are all non-special and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ have dimension at least -1 we apply Theorem 5.1 (b) to conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ has the expected dimension. Now, b was chosen so that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}}$ have virtual dimension at least -1, so it is enough that the systems are all non-special. We saw earlier that this only fails (when $v \geq -1$) if $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(36, 28, 29, 4)$ or if $\mathcal{L}$ is of the form $\mathcal{L}(36, 30, x + 13, 4)$ where $x \leq 10$. In the second case it is enough to prove the theorem for the largest n making $v \geq -1$, so we may assume $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(36, 30, 23, 4)$. In both cases $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is special, but we may use Cremona transformations to find its dimension. Then directly apply Theorem 5.1 (b).

For $d = 35$, we may choose b to be 12 or 13 to make $n - b$ odd (for the largest n making v at least 0) and use Lemma 5.2. If $d = 34$, this b also works unless $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(34, 28, 22, 4)$. In this case we appeal to Theorem 5.1 (b) (using Cremona reduction to find the dimension of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbb{P}}$). When $d = 33$ we use a $(3, 11)$ degeneration and Lemma 5.2 unless $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(33, 25, 25, 4)$ or is of the form $\mathcal{L}(33, 27, x + 11, 4)$ where $x \leq 9$. In the first case we appeal to Theorem 5.1 (b). In the second case, note that we only care about the largest n (the largest x). This is the system $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(33, 27, 20, 4)$, but $\mathcal{L}(33, 27, 21, 4)$ is non-empty and non special (by Lemma 5.2) so $\mathcal{L}$ is as well.

When $d = 32$, we may choose $b = 11$ or 12 so that $n - b$ is odd for the largest n making v at least 0 and use Lemma 5.2. For $d = 31$ a $(3, 11)$ degeneration allows us to apply Lemma 5.2. A $(3, 11)$ degeneration also allows us to apply Lemma 5.2 in all but three cases. For these exceptions, we use Cremona reduction to find the dimension of the special systems and use Theorem 5.1 (b). If $d = 29$, we let b be either 10 or 11 so that $n - b$ is odd and use Lemma 5.2 unless $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(29, 23, 18, 4)$. In this case we use a $(3, 10)$ degeneration and Theorem 5.1 (b).

For every d from 17 to 28 the process is the same. For each $m_0 \leq d - 6$ and the corresponding largest n , there is a b (between $\frac{3d}{10}$ and $\frac{2d-1}{5}$ so that $v_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $v_{\mathbb{P}}$ are at least -1) such that either a $(3, b)$ degeneration satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 5.2, or so that we may use Cremona reduction to find the dimension of the special systems and apply Theorem 5.1 (b).

When $d = 16$, we may use a $(3, 5)$ degeneration and Theorem 5.1 (b) in all but two cases. In these cases ($\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(16, 6, 13, 4)$ or $\mathcal{L}(16, 1, 15, 4)$) a $(4, 7)$ degeneration satisfies the requirements of Lemma 5.2. For $d = 15$ or 14, a $(3, 5)$ degeneration works.

If $d = 13$ there are eight cases to check. For $m_0 = 7$ or 6 the systems (with the largest n) Cremona reduce to a known case and are non-special. Either a $(3, 4)$ or a $(3, 5)$ degeneration works for the rest of the cases except $m_0 = 2$. To prove the theorem for the system $\mathcal{L}(13, 2, 10, 4)$ a $(6, 7)$ degeneration satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5.2. When $d = 12$ there are six cases to check. The top two ($m_0 = 5$ or 6) Cremona reduce to a known case and are non-special. A $(3, 4)$ or a $(4, 5)$ degeneration works for the rest.

For $6 \leq d \leq 11$, $0 \leq m_0 \leq d - 6$, and the corresponding largest n making v at least 0 and the system non-special, the linear systems all Cremona reduce to known cases and are non-special.

Therefore, all linear systems $\mathcal{L}(d, m_0, n, m)$ which are not (-1) special are non-special. In other words the only special quasi-homogeneous linear systems with $m = 4$ are the (-1) special systems listed in Theorem 2.1. ■

References

- [CM1] C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda. “Degenerations of Planar Linear Systems” *J. Reine Angew. Math* vol. 501 (1998), pp. 191-220.
- [CM2] C. Ciliberto and R. Miranda. “Linear Systems of Plane Curves with Base Points of Equal Multiplicity” to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [Ha] B. Harbourne. “The Geometry of rational surfaces and Hilbert functions of points in the plane” *Proceedings of the 1984 Vancouver Conference in Algebraic Geometry* CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 6, Amer Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1986), pp.95-111.

- [Hi] A. Hirschowitz. “Une conjecture pour la cohomologie des diviseurs sur les surfaces rationnelles generiques” *J. Reine Angew. Math* vol. 397 (1989), pp. 208-213.